1		STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
2		PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION
3		
4	21 South Fr	<b>23</b> - 9:07 a.m. uit Street
5	Suite 10 Concord, NH	
6		
7	RE	: DW 22-058 BEDFORD WASTE SERVICES CORPORATION:
8		Request for Change in Rates. (Hearing regarding the Settlement
9		Agreement on Permanent Rates)
L 0	PRESENT:	Cmsr. Pradip K. Chattopadhyay, <i>Presiding</i> Commissioner Carleton B. Simpson
L1		Lynn Fabrizio, Esq./PUC Legal Advisor
L2		Doreen Borden, Clerk
L 3		borden, orden
L 4		
L 5	APPEARANCES	: Reptg. Bedford Waste Services Corp.: Marcia A. Brown, Esq. (NH Brown Law)
L 6		Reptg. New Hampshire Dept. of Energy:
L 7		Matthew C. Young, Esq. Jayson Laflamme, Dir./Water Division
L 8		Robyn Descoteau, Water Division (Regulatory Support Division)
L 9		(Negatatory Support Division)
20		
21		
22		
23	Court Re	porter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52
2 4		

1			
2	INDEX		
3		PAGE	E NO.
4	DISCUSSION RE: MR. RIZZO & THE SERVICE LIST	5,	18
5	PUBLIC COMMENTS BY:		
6	Mr. Rizzo 9, 20,	69,	8 4
7	DISCUSSION RE: EXHIBITS		13
8	OPENING STATEMENTS BY:		
9	Ms. Brown Mr. Young		16 17
10	QUESTIONS BY:		1 /
11	Cmsr. Simpson Cmsr. Chattopadhyay	1 0	18
12	Chist. Chattopadhyay	19,	24
13	FURTHER RESPONSIVE STATEMENTS BY:		
14	Mr. St. Cyr Ms. Brown		22 23
15	MS. BLOWN		23
16	* * *		
17	WITNESS PANEL: STEPHEN P. ST. CYR ROBYN J. DESCOTEAU		
18	Direct examination by Ms. Brown (St. Cyr)		25
19	Direct examination by Mr. Young (Descoteau) Interrogatories by Cmsr. Simpson		53 61
20		64,	
21	* * *		
22	CLOSING STATEMENTS BY:		
23	Mr. Young Ms. Brown 78,	85,	68 86
24	ris. DIOWII /O,	00,	0 0

1			
2		EXHIBITS	
3	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
4	5	Permanent Rate Filing, consisting of Testimony,	premarked
5		Exhibits, and Other Rate Case Filing Requirements	
6		(10-17-22)	
7	6	Settlement Agreement on Permanent Rates	premarked
8		(06-28-23)	
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			

## PROCEEDING

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: On the record.

Good morning. I'm Commissioner Chattopadhyay,
and with me today is Commissioner Simpson. We
are here this morning in Docket DW 22-058, for a
hearing regarding the Settlement on Permanent
Rates reached by Petitioner, Bedford Waste
Services Corporation, and the New Hampshire
Department of Energy, which was filed in this
docket on June 28, 2023.

At the outset, I note that DOE filed the Step 1 Audit Report per one of the Settlement clauses yesterday.

So, let's begin with appearances. From Bedford Waste Services Corporation, Attorney Brown, please.

MS. BROWN: Good morning,

Commissioners. Thank you for your time this

morning. My name is Marcia Brown, NH Brown Law,

representing Bedford Waste. To my immediate

right is Bob LaMontagne, who is President of

Bedford Waste; to his right is Stephen P. St.

Cyr, who is our witness for today, he's with

Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates; and to his right

1 is Carleton Roberts, who is CFO of LaMontagne 2. Management. 3 Thank you. 4 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 5 go to New Hampshire Department of Energy. 6 MR. YOUNG: Good morning, 7 Commissioners. Matthew Young, on behalf of the 8 Department of Energy. With me today, to my left, 9 is Jayson Laflamme, who is the Director of the 10 Water Division; and Robyn Descoteau, who is an 11 analyst in the Water Division working on this 12 docket. 1.3 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. So, 14 I'm going to go through the preliminary matters. 15 Is there any member of the public here? 16 MR. RIZZO: Yes, Commissioner. I'm 17 Steven Rizzo. I live on Pulpit Road. I'm an 18 intervenor. 19 [Cmsr. Chattopadhyay and Cmsr. Simpson 20 conferring.] 2.1 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thanks for being here, 2.2 Mr. Rizzo. Our Clerk's Office noted that they 23 added you to our service list. So, you've been 24 receiving emails pertaining to this proceeding,

1 correct?

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

MR. RIZZO: The last four months.

CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. Very good. I just wanted to distinguish the term "intervenor". There's a formal petition that has to be filed to formally be an intervenor. We will welcome you today to offer your comments, to be heard today. We want to hear what you want to say. But I just want to distinguish "intervention" for you. That's a formal petition that has to be filed that we don't have on record.

MR. RIZZO: Uh-huh.

CMSR. SIMPSON: But please feel free to today to share any thoughts that you have concerning this Settlement Agreement, and the proceeding. And we'll offer a specific opportunity coming up shortly for you to offer comments.

MR. RIZZO: Thank you.

CMSR. SIMPSON: Of course. Thank you.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, in my script here, this is your opportunity to speak up, if you want to say something. You have -- just a moment. Just a moment.

MR. YOUNG: I would just like to -- I don't believe that he was -- Mr. Rizzo was actually added to the service list. I don't know that he's been receiving emails, electronic delivery of these -- of any documents in this case.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

CMSR. SIMPSON: Our Clerk's Office said that they did add him to the service list.

And, Mr. Rizzo, you have been receiving emails over the last few months?

MR. RIZZO: Yes, I have. And I'm right on the bottom of it, myself and Paul Casazza, who's the other gentleman.

CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you.

MR. RIZZO: If you check the last couple months, sent out on the 31st of May, you'll see our name on the service list.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, I'm still waiting for the DOE to add anything. So, please, take your time, but just let me know.

MS. BROWN: Commissioners, if I may make a comment about the service list. Because, once we heard that Mr. Rizzo had been added -- or, he had stated he had been added to the

service list, we looked at the service list this morning for both the rate case and the financing dockets, and he does not appear there.

2.

1.3

2.2

So, I'm confused on -- I'm glad that he's, you know, getting emails. But being on the service list puts a obligation on the parties to be making sure that, you know, people that are on the service list receive filings. And I've been following the Commission's service list, which, as of this morning, I still do not see Mr. Rizzo there. So, I just wanted to clarify.

I haven't been giving him filings, because I've been following the service list off of the website.

MR. RIZZO: Commissioner, dated 05/3/23 [05/31/23?], Service Docket List, I'm on the bottom of it, along with Mr. Casazza.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Is there a -- do you see a "DW", with a number next to it?

MR. RIZZO: Yes. "DW 22-058".

CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay.

MR. RIZZO: I'm looking at it. This was the "Request to Change Rates", and it's a "Request to Extend the Settlement Date" [sic].

Okay. Also, it's a public website. I can go on and look at anything.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

CMSR. SIMPSON: Yes. Of course.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes.

MR. RIZZO: So, I don't need to be on the service list to look.

CMSR. SIMPSON: We want to make sure that you receive -- anybody that's on the service list receives all the emails, is why we just want to make sure that --

MR. RIZZO: And, with all due respect, this is part of the issue. This is not -- we are not Eversource, we are not Fidium, or anybody else. We're a small, 78 group of people that are basically being held hostage. We don't benefit from this. There's only one person that benefits from this, and that's the gentleman that built the houses.

So, this process that's being applied to this Waste Company, to make them jump through hoops and expenses, at the same way that a large company can that has the resources, doesn't make any sense.

Now, I know that's not up to you. It's

probably legislative. But, at some point, you need to think that, for the ones that are just small like this, there's no recourse.

1.3

2.2

And, you know, you're asking me "why am I here now, and not before?" You know what it's like to try and read through some of this to get it done? I spoke to the Consumer Advocate, Don Kreis, about three months ago. You know what he told me? "Oh, you're too small. I don't have the time." This is what he told me. I said "Okay, fine."

I filed a complaint to Chris Sununu, where next day I get a long e-mail from him, kind of like, you know, maybe not apologizing, but kind of covering his ass. And he thought "Well, you know, I could help you if you like. Give me a call. I'll show you this." I don't need him, okay, because I'm looking at stuff from January 2000, all the way down the line, all these orders. And there's one underlying theme of this whole waste system situation: It's underfunded and it's mismanaged. And that's really the crux of it.

And that's not going to change, no

matter how many times you spend here today,
looking at exhibits, going through procedures and
everything else, this is not a large company.

And, if I understand correctly, the Public
Utility Commission is here to protect both
parties. But, from what you read these things,
you would think that you're siding all the time
with the Waste Company. We have no rights.

2.

1.3

2.2

And all I'm saying is that this has to stop. It's got to be put to an end. We're not going to pay, you know, in perpetuity, raise the rates, because they can't manage themselves. And I have plenty of examples. On the other hand, I have solutions. So, I'm not a person that's going to throw darts without coming back and having solutions.

I've lived there 18 years. My
background is I have a degree in Engineering from
RIT, I have an MBA in Financial Management. And,
for last 30 years, I've consulted companies of
all different sizes.

CMSR. SIMPSON: I'll just say, I'm happy you're here. Thank you for taking the time to be here today. I do sympathize, this is a

1 complex process. And we do our best to make it 2. publicly accessible. There's always opportunity 3 for improvements. So, I appreciate you expressing that today. 4 5 I'm sorry that you didn't receive any 6 clear direction, either from the Consumer 7 Advocate or other resources. My apologies for that. 8 9 But, today, we're glad you're here. 10 And we want to hear any of the specifics that you 11 have to share in the context of this proceeding, 12 Mr. Rizzo. 1.3 MR. RIZZO: Thank you. 14 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. 15 MR. RIZZO: Thank you. I would just 16 like to thank Doreen Borden. She was very 17 helpful. 18 CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you. She's in 19 our Clerks Office. 20 MR. RIZZO: That's right. 2.1 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, should I 2.2 assume that what you just shared with us is the

to add anything to that?

public comment that you're making? Do you want

23

24

```
1
                    MR. RIZZO: Well, I mean, I have
 2
         specifics, okay?
 3
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: And I will give
         you the --
 4
 5
                    MR. RIZZO: Do you want me to go into
 6
         the financial statement and the mismanagement?
 7
         Or -- I don't want to interrupt where you are.
 8
         So, I can interject whenever you like.
 9
                    [Cmsr. Chattopadhyay and Cmsr. Simpson
                    conferring. 1
10
11
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, let me -- I
12
         will give you the opportunity later.
1.3
                    MR. RIZZO: No problem. Thank you.
14
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: But let me just
         move on, and note that Exhibits 5 and 6 have been
15
16
         prefiled and premarked for identification.
17
                    Is there anything regarding exhibits
18
         that we need to do?
                    MS. BROWN: No. There's no
19
20
         confidential information contained in the
2.1
         exhibits. So, there's no need for like a motion
2.2
         for confidential treatment.
23
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: And the filing
24
         that was made yesterday, you're not requesting
```

1 that be part of the exhibits, right? 2. MR. YOUNG: No. 3 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. 4 MR. YOUNG: No. We just filed that 5 pursuant to the procedure. 6 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes. Okay. 7 understand. If I can speak to that 8 MS. BROWN: We felt that there was sufficient 9 documentation in the record with what we are 10 11 presenting with Exhibits 5 and 6 that 12 substantiate, you know, otherwise having the 1.3 Audit Report in. So, we figured, because that 14 other evidence exists, we didn't need the 15 separate audit. 16 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. The 17 Commissioners have reviewed the Settlement, as 18 well as the initial Petition and prefiled 19 testimony filings. It will not be necessary for 20 Mr. St. Cyr to summarize the initial testimony. 2.1 That said, we would like to hear from 2.2 both the Company and the DOE with respect to 23 their positions regarding why the Settlement

should be approved, including how the Settlement

24

1 addresses both the Company's Petition requests, 2. and any concerns raised by the DOE, in the course 3 of its audit and review of its docket filings. 4 Are the parties agreeable to this 5 approach? 6 MS. BROWN: Does that mean I do not 7 need to authenticate Exhibits 6 and -- 5 and 6, and the testimony therein, and the discovery 8 9 responses? 10 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No, I think you 11 need to. MS. BROWN: Okay. All right. 12 1.3 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: But I'm just 14 saying that don't go into a spiel. You know, 15 keep it short. Okay. MR. YOUNG: The Department does feel 16 17 that it will address those concerns in its direct 18 examination. 19 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. 20 [Cmsr. Chattopadhyay and Cmsr. Simpson 2.1 conferring.] 2.2 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, what I would 23 do is, I would go to the Company, if you have any 24 preliminary comments, as well as DOE. And, then,

I would allow Mr. Rizzo to provide his additional comments.

So, let's start with the Company. Do you have anything preliminary to say?

MS. BROWN: Sure.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

The evidence that we are presenting today establishes that the plant that is contained in the revenue requirement is prudent, used and useful. We will be presenting evidence today that the management is sound. The expenses are not only known and measurable, but are reasonable, and that the proposed income needs is also reasonable, and that the rates are just and reasonable, per the statutory requirements.

So, I think the concerns about mismanagement will be allayed through our testimony, because we do not consider that there has been mismanagement.

If there are ideas, Bedford is open to, you know, hearing ideas. But, at this late stage of the adjudicative docket, I think, if there's a motion for intervention that is made, we would object, because that timeline for filing the motion to intervene was back in November of 2022.

And I would also add that the -- that Bedford provided actual notice to each and every customer through an actual mailing of the Order back in November, when the case was -- the tariffs were suspended and the case was opened.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, we do not object to any public comment, which Mr. Rizzo has a right to. We are not aware that he has any representation on behalf of the Homeowners Association. There is a Homeowners Association, but I'm not clear that he has any officer position with that, in order to make any representations on behalf of any other residents beside himself.

So, with that, we're ready to put our witnesses on. But thank you for the opportunity to make those initial comments.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: DOE.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Commissioners.

The Department has reviewed the filing, and conducted the necessary due diligence in negotiating the Settlement before you today. As the record shows, and the panel of witnesses will testify, the Department of Energy believes that the permanent rate, step adjustments, and other

proposals contained in the Settlement Agreement are just and reasonable and in the public interest.

And, then, I would just clarify, I guess, for the record, just from reviewing emails about the service list issue we discussed earlier. It does look like Mr. Rizzo was receiving emails from Ms. Jaime Breen. But I don't know that the online version was updated. So, --

CMSR. SIMPSON: And I see that as well.

I'm trying to clarify that. Our Clerks Office
informed me this morning that, verbally, that he
was added, Mr. Rizzo was added to the service
list. And I believe that he's been receiving the
emails.

I see online that it's not reflected. So, we'll run that to ground and figure it out, what happened there.

And just for my information, has the Department been in touch with any homeowners, including Mr. Rizzo, through the course of this proceeding?

MR. YOUNG: No.

2.

1.3

2.2

CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

MR. YOUNG: We have not.

CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: And can I also ask, in the same vein, has the Company been in touch with Mr. Rizzo?

MR. ST. CYR: So, I believe Mr. Rizzo participated in a couple of -- I don't recall what we called them, there were two evening meetings that I hosted, that was coordinated through the Homeowners Association. My recollection is he was at least in one meeting, maybe both of them. This was an opportunity for the Company to sort of present its rate case and its financing at the time, and then to make itself available for questions about operation.

My recollection is I did kind of a, you know, "the Company started in the '90s, and the physical plant consists of this, and this is how we operate." And then talked about the rate case and the rate increases and the financing.

So, I did that on two separate nights for two different groups of people, some that were in both. And, so, that's the extent to

which the Company has been involved.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Just trying to make sure I follow. But do you recall that Mr. Rizzo was there in those meetings?

MR. ST. CYR: I guess I would ask you to direct that to him. My recollection is that he was in at least one of them.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. So, I will let you, Mr. Rizzo, provide any additional comments you have.

MR. RIZZO: Okay. Concerning with what Mr. St. Cyr just said, yes, and I have the notes from the meeting that I was in.

My question is this: How can you have a hearing without a defendant? This Commission has increased rates, has had hearings, without any representation from the defendants. In other words, whose responsibility is it? Is it the Waste Company that's supposed to provide communication, they're supposed to put in how to take care of your septic, things to do; we get nothing, we get a bill, we get an envelope.

There's no communication with Bedford Waste. There is no website. People move into

the neighborhood have no idea what it is or who it is. They don't know who Stephen St. Cyr is. There is no effort made to welcome new people, give them some guidelines on what's going on, and that's why you have the issues that you have now. And I've been letting this go. I've been there 18 years as I mentioned.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

So, is it the PUC's responsibility, where you have a hearing, and you're going "What, no intervenors? No nobody?" It's unusual. So, then, whose job is it to make sure that we're told that "you know, somebody should show up and defend yourself."

So, I guess maybe I bullied my way in here today, okay, not, you know, following the rules. And I appreciate the Commission's latitude in letting me do that. Okay, but, at some point, whose responsibility is it to have a hearing without a defendant? It's crazy. It makes no sense. But, again, I don't know what the rules are.

Concerning Mr. Brown's comment about the income needs and everything else "met statutory requirements", what are those? Is the

22

```
1
         cash flow two times, you know, is your quick
 2.
         ratio two-to-one? Is there supposed to be a
 3
         reserve fund? Is there a bad debt allowance?
 4
         What's the statutory requirements that she's
 5
         saying this all meets now with the new pro forma
 6
         statement? What is it?
 7
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, that's your
 8
         comments?
                    MR. RIZZO: Well, that's my comment.
 9
10
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
11
                    MR. RIZZO: But I have questions.
12
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
                                          Thank you.
1.3
                    MR. RIZZO: Like, do you want me to go
14
         into all this, about the statements, and the
15
         loan, and the bad debt allowance, and everything
16
         else?
17
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, the only
18
         reason I'm asking is, if you're wrapping up, I
19
         would ask the Company to respond, if you have --
20
         if you want to, to what Mr. Rizzo is saying?
2.1
                    [Atty. Brown and Mr. St. Cyr
2.2
                    conferring.]
23
                    MR. ST. CYR: So, just to comment on a
24
         couple of things.
```

First of all, when a new customer comes into the system, there is a "new customer" letter that goes out to each new customer along with that first bill.

2.

1.3

2.1

2.2

And, then, there has recently been created a website, although we have not actively made that available. It's something that was created probably within the last six months.

And, we have, in fact, been adding some of these documents. But that we need to do a better job in getting -- letting people know that that exists, and that some of that information is available out there.

And, then, just with respect to the intervention, as Ms. Brown said earlier, we did do a specific mailing with respect to the Order of Notice, which provided customers with the opportunity to intervene. And, then, that was followed up by those two nightly meetings that Bedford Waste hosted.

So, that's the extent of some of what we've done recently.

MS. BROWN: And if I may add? The letters that went out to the customers noticed --

1 noted that the customers could turn to the 2. website -- the newly created website to obtain 3 any updated information on the case. 4 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: When was the 5 newly created webpage up and running? 6 MR. ST. CYR: I want to say within the 7 last three to four months. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: When was this 8 9 rate case, you know, started? 10 MR. ST. CYR: It could be a little --11 I'm sorry. It could be a little bit longer, 12 instead of three to four, it could be maybe six 1.3 months. I do know we specifically sent the Order 14 of Notice to customers, and that may have been, 15 in part, because the website didn't exist at the 16 time. The Order of Notice has since been 17 18 added, along with the original filing, and along 19 with a lot of the other things that have gone on 20 since then. 2.1 [Cmsr. Chattopadhyay and Cmsr. Simpson 2.2 conferring.] 23 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, is there 24 anything else before we go to the witnesses?

```
1
                    [No verbal response.]
 2
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. So,
 3
         Mr. Patnaude, will you please swear in the panel
 4
         of witnesses? And I see that there's going to be
 5
         Mr. St. Cyr and Ms. Robyn Descoteau.
 6
                    (Whereupon STEPHEN P. ST. CYR and
 7
                    ROBYN J. DESCOTEAU were duly sworn by
                    the Court Reporter.)
 8
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: And let's start
 9
10
         with the direct examination --
11
                   MS. BROWN: Yes.
12
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: -- with the
1.3
         Company.
                   MS. BROWN: As we've coordinated with
14
15
         DOE, the Company is going to run through its
16
         witness first. So, I'd like to start.
17
                   STEPHEN P. ST. CYR, SWORN
18
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
    BY MS. BROWN:
20
         Mr. St. Cyr, could you please state your name for
21
         the record?
2.2
         (St. Cyr) My name is Stephen P. St. Cyr. I own
23
         St. Cyr & Associates, which provides accounting,
24
         management, and regulatory services, mostly to
```

```
1
         utilities, mostly water and sewer companies here
 2
         in New Hampshire.
 3
    Q
         Thank you for preempting my next question, which
 4
         was to have you explain what services you
 5
         provide.
 6
                    Next question, can you please state
 7
         your area of expertise?
 8
         (St. Cyr) I have a BS degree, with a
 9
         concentration in Accounting, from Northeastern
10
         University; a Master's level Tax Certificate from
11
         New Hampshire College, which is now Southern New
12
         Hampshire University. And I have a CPA from the
13
         State of Maryland.
14
         And, so, what do you consider to be your area of
    Q
15
         expertise?
16
         (St. Cyr) Accounting, tax, management, and
17
         regulatory matters.
18
         Okay. Thank you. And can you please briefly
    Q
19
         describe your involvement with this docket, this
20
         rate case docket?
21
         (St. Cyr) So, I prepared the original rate
    Α
22
         filing, which included my testimony, both the
23
         temporary and permanent rate exhibits, and the
24
         two step proposals, along with other rate filing
```

1 requirements. 2 And is the work that you performed on this rate 3 case within that area of expertise of accounting, 4 management, and regulatory? 5 (St. Cyr) Yes. 6 Have you worked on other dockets before this 7 Commission? 8 (St. Cyr) I have. 9 And how long have you been involved in rate 10 proceedings before this Commission? I'm sorry to 11 ask. 12 (St. Cyr) I'm tempted to say "too long", but it's 1.3 been approximately 30 years. Thank you. Have those rate proceedings involved 14 Q 15 water and sewer utilities? 16 (St. Cyr) Yes. 17 Of what size? 18 (St. Cyr) Mostly small to medium size companies 19 here in New Hampshire. 20 Okay. And have you offered testimony in any of 21 those proceedings within your area of expertise 2.2 we just noted? 23 Α (St. Cyr) Yes. 24 And has any of that expert testimony ever not

```
1
         been admitted before the Commission?
 2
         (St. Cyr) No. None of it's not been admitted.
 3
         Okay. And will your testimony today be part fact
 4
         and part expert testimony?
 5
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
         Okay. Thank you. If I could just briefly
 6
 7
         authenticate some exhibits. Do you have
 8
         Exhibit 5 before you?
 9
    Α
         (St. Cyr) I do.
10
         And can you please state what it is for the
11
         record?
12
         (St. Cyr) This is the original case filing,
13
         including the permanent rate, temporary rate,
14
         Step 1, Step 2 increases, and related schedules.
15
         And are you familiar with Exhibit 5?
    0
16
         (St. Cyr) I am.
17
         And, as part of this filing, did you prepare
18
         direct testimony?
19
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
    Α
20
         And let me just turn, I believe that's Pages 29
21
         through 47, if you could turn to that. Or, do
22
         you have it before you?
23
    Α
         (St. Cyr) I don't, but it is my testimony.
24
         Okay. So, you remember your testimony in there.
```

```
1
         So, was this testimony in the rate filing
 2.
         prepared under your direct supervision and
 3
         control?
 4
          (St. Cyr) It was prepared by me.
 5
         Okay. And, to the best of your knowledge, is
 6
         that testimony true and accurate?
 7
    Α
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
 8
         And, other than changes to references to the PUC
 9
         1604.06 and the 1604.08 schedules, and any
10
         changes from discovery and audit, are there any
11
         material changes that need to be made to this
12
         testimony?
1.3
         (St. Cyr) No.
14
         And would you adopt that written testimony as
15
         part of your oral testimony today?
16
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
17
         Okay. If we could move onto Exhibit 6, and
18
         please, for the record, can you identified
19
         Exhibit 6?
20
         (St. Cyr) This is the Settlement Agreement on
21
         Permanent Rates.
2.2
         And did you participate in the creation of this
23
         Settlement?
24
          (St. Cyr) I did.
```

```
1
         Are you familiar with the terms of the Settlement
 2
         Agreement?
 3
    Α
          (St. Cyr) Yes.
 4
         Are you aware of any changes or corrections that
 5
         need to be made to Exhibit 6?
 6
         (St. Cyr) No.
 7
         Okay. As part of this Exhibit 6, Attachment E,
 8
         are you familiar with Attachment E?
 9
          (St. Cyr) Yes.
10
         And what are those? Or, what is Attachment E?
11
         (St. Cyr) Attachment E is the select data
12
         responses, certain select data responses.
13
         Okay. And, with respect to these responses, did
    Q
14
         you respond on behalf of the Company?
15
    Α
         (St. Cyr) I did.
16
         And were the responses correct and accurate at
17
         the time that you made them?
18
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
    Α
19
         Are you aware of any changes or corrections that
20
         need to be made to the data responses included in
21
         Attachment E?
2.2
    Α
         (St. Cyr) No.
23
         Okay. I'd like to have you just move on to
24
         Attachment F. And please state for the record
```

```
1
         what Attachment F is?
 2
          (St. Cyr) This is DOE's Final Audit Report.
 3
         Are you familiar with the Final Audit Report?
 4
          (St. Cyr) Yes.
 5
         And, as customary in these audits, does Audit ask
 6
         the Company questions?
 7
    Α
          (St. Cyr) Yes.
 8
         And did the Company provide responses?
 9
         (St. Cyr) We did.
10
         And are some of those responses in this Audit
11
         Report?
12
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
1.3
         Were the responses the Company provided in
14
         Attachment F correct at the time that they were
15
         made?
16
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
17
    Q
         Are you aware of any changes or corrections that
18
         need to be made to the responses in Attachment F?
19
         (St. Cyr) No.
    Α
20
         Okay. And, if I can move on to Attachment A, and
21
         get into some substance of the Settlement. And
2.2
         I'd like to start you off at Page 18 of
23
         Exhibit 16 [6?], which is the first page of
24
         Attachment A. Just let me know when you're
```

```
1
         there?
 2
         (St. Cyr) I am there.
 3
         Okay. Can you just summarize for the record the
 4
         components of the revenue requirement that's
 5
         agreed to, displayed here?
 6
         (St. Cyr) Yes. So, the components of the revenue
 7
         requirement consist of rate base, rate of return,
 8
         revenue, and expenses.
         And what is the dollar amount of the revenue
 9
    Q
10
         increase that is agreed to?
11
         (St. Cyr) That increase is $18,043.
12
         Okay. And it's not on this Page 18.
1.3
         (St. Cyr) It's on Page 19, Schedule 1, in Column
         (c), "Proforma Adjustments", "Operating Revenue
14
         $18,043".
15
16
         Okay. Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, I'd like to have
17
         you turn to Schedule 1, "Statement of Income",
18
         which is this Page 19. And are the components of
19
         the Operating Income, as well as the Other Income
20
         and Deductions, are those from the Chart of
21
         Accounts for Water Utilities?
2.2
    Α
         (St. Cyr) So, the accounting for them is
23
         consistent with the Uniform Accounts, and the
24
         accounts that we follow are those listed in the
```

```
1
         Uniform System of Accounts.
 2
         Okay. Thank you. Is there anything noteworthy
 3
         that you wish to bring to the Commissioners'
 4
         attention about the entries on this Statement of
 5
         Income?
 6
         (St. Cyr) Well, the first thing I would call to
 7
         their attention is the "Actual 2021 Year End
 8
         Balance", and the "Net Operating Income (Loss)".
 9
         In 2021, the test year, the loss was "16,290".
10
         This is mostly related to a bad debt expense,
11
         which has been reversed throughout the process.
12
                    The second thing I would point out to
1.3
         the Commissioners is the "Proforma 2021 Year End
14
         Balance". Again, that same line, "Net Operating
15
         Income", the proforma net operating income is
         "$6,976". This is the amount of net operating
16
17
         income required for the Company to earn a 7.18
18
         percent return on its year-end rate base.
19
         Thank you, Mr. St. Cyr. Can I have you turn to
    Q
20
         the next page, Page 20, which is Schedule 1A?
21
         this the support for the net operating income
22
         part of the revenue requirement?
         (St. Cyr) Yes. This shows the specific
23
    Α
24
         adjustments made to operating revenue and
```

operating expenses. Looks like there were a total of 14 or 15 adjustments that were made to test year revenue and expenses.

2.

1.3

2.2

- Q And can you just summarize some of the important adjustments?
- A (St. Cyr) So, I'll touch on a couple of the bigger ones. First of all, the net of the first two adjustments, identified as "0" and Adjustment 1, is "\$18,043". This is the amount of the increase. And, again, we needed that amount of increase in order to cover our expenses and to earn our rate of return.

A couple of the bigger expense adjustments, I guess the first thing I would point out is Adjustment 9, this is on the bad debt expense. As I indicated earlier, this contributed to the net operating loss in the test year, and it was reversed out. So, it's not taken into consideration in the proforma test year.

And I would just note, there have been some questions about that throughout the proceeding. I would just point out that that pertains to one customer. We wrote off the

1.3

balance in 2021. We have since pursued that customer in court, and have received a favorable judgment. We're scheduled to go to court again next week, July 20th, in order for a payment -- a payment hearing. And, at this point, we still do not have any of those funds for that.

Maybe the second adjustment I would just point out is number 7. During the test year, we generally try to plan two semi-annual pumpings. These are when we hire a pump company to go out and pump out the septic tanks. We try to do that twice a year, in the spring and the fall. In the test year, there was only one, because of a shortage of cash. And this is an adjustment to increase the test year expenses to enable us to do two semi-annual pumpings a year.

And then, there are any number of other adjustments, mostly minor, to adjust the level of expenses in the test year, versus what we're currently experiencing.

- Thank you, Mr. St. Cyr. I'd like to have you go to Schedule 1B please, 1B. And that is on Page 23 of Exhibit 6.
- A (St. Cyr) So, 1B is a statement of some of the

1 specific operating expenses. These provide 2. further support for the adjustments that I just 3 talked about. This is almost a line-by-line 4 expense itemization. And it shows the test year 5 amount, it shows what the Company initially 6 adjusted those expenses to, it shows DOE further 7 adjustments, and then, ultimately, it shows what 8 Bedford and DOE agreed to as the Settlement 9 adjusted amounts. 10 And, Mr. St. Cyr, just to have you explain this 11 in a little bit more detail, there are some 12 bracketed numbers that appear after some of these expenses. Is it correct that that references the 1.3 14 discovery response that's cited in the notes at the bottom of this schedule? 15 16 (St. Cyr) Yes. I think, initially, those note 17 numbers were numbers that I put in. The notes 18 were what I described as an attempt to explain 19 what the change might be. And, then, 20 subsequently, DOE had some data requests, and the 21 Company responded to those. And there may or may 2.2 not have been an adjustment based on the 23 Company's responses. But those notes were 24 subsequently added to, and specific references

```
1
         were made to DOE data requests, to further
 2
         support the adjustments that ultimately the
 3
         Company and DOE agreed to.
 4
         And, Mr. St. Cyr, those data responses are the
 5
         ones you were referring to in Attachment E to the
 6
         Settlement Agreement, is that correct?
 7
    Α
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
 8
         Now, did Audit Staff review these expenses?
 9
         (St. Cyr) Yes, they did.
10
         And that resulted in the Attachment F, "Audit
11
         Report", is that correct?
12
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
1.3
         Okay. All right. So, in summary, with this
14
         Schedule 1B, and it may be self-evident, but
15
         Bedford agrees with these adjustments, is that
16
         correct?
17
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
18
         Okay. Thank you. All right. If I could have
    Q
19
         you go back to Page 18, with respect to the "Rate
20
         Base" line, which is Schedule 3, can you please
21
         explain the difference between the columns?
2.2
         We've got a column "5 Quarter Average" and we
23
         have a column for "Proforma". If you could just
24
         please explain the difference?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) So, I guess I would refer people to
 2
         Schedule 3, the explanation is little bit more
 3
         obvious on the Schedule 3.
 4
                    What you see on Schedule 3 is the
 5
         actual rate base balances for the five quarters
 6
         during 2021, and then a five-quarter average, and
 7
         then a series of adjustments to get to the
 8
         proforma 2022 balances. The adjustments were
 9
         largely to get the five-quarter average to a
10
         year-end balance.
11
                    I think there was only one adjustment
12
         that didn't pertain to adjusting the five-quarter
1.3
         average to year-end, and that adjustment had to
14
         do with an additional half year of accumulated
15
         depreciation.
16
         So, Mr. St. Cyr, are you familiar with the
17
         concept of -- the regulatory concept of "prudent,
         used and useful"?
18
19
         (St. Cyr) I am.
    Α
20
         Okay. So, the plant, the rate base that's
21
         depicted here, do you have an opinion on whether
22
         it's prudent, used and useful?
23
    Α
         (St. Cyr) It is all those things.
24
         Now, can I have you turn to Page 27 of Exhibit 6?
```

```
1
         Let me know when you're there?
 2
         (St. Cyr) I'm there.
 3
         Okay. Can you please summarize the adjustments
 4
         to the plant, accumulated depreciation, CIAC, and
 5
         working capital?
 6
         (St. Cyr) Yes. So, these are the specific
 7
         adjustments to the rate base items that support
         the adjustments shown on Schedule 3.
 8
 9
    Q
         Okay. And, then, on Page 29, can you explain the
10
         rate of return then agreed to in this Settlement?
11
         (St. Cyr) Yes. So, the agreed upon rate of
         return is "7.18 percent". Because Bedford's
12
1.3
         equity is negative, it's based on the actual cost
14
         rate for debt. The actual cost rate is 6.8
15
         percent, plus the cost of financing. And, when
16
         you factor in the cost of financing, the rate
17
         goes from --
18
                    [Court reporter interruption.]
19
    CONTINUED BY THE WITNESS:
20
         (St. Cyr) So, the cost of debt is 6.80 percent.
21
         And, when you factor in the cost of financing,
22
         that additional cost results in the cost of debt
23
         being 7.18 percent. And, because the equity is
24
         negative, we use the 7.18 percent for the rate of
```

1 return as well. 2 BY MS. BROWN: 3 Okay. Mr. St. Cyr, I'd like to have you turn to 4 the "Report of Proposed Rate Change". For the 5 record, it is Schedule 5, in Exhibit 6, Page 30. 6 And can you please just walk us through, from the 7 revenue requirement, how that flows through and 8 you calculate the resulting customer rate? 9 (St. Cyr) So, this shows the "Number of 10 Customers", the "Present Authorized Revenue" 11 [sic], and the "Proposed Revenue", and the 12 related changes. As you can see, the current authorized revenue is "\$48,600"; the proposed 1.3 14 revenue is "\$66,335"; the change is approximately 15 18,000. And that results in an annual permanent rate of "\$850.45". 16 17 And how frequently does Bedford bill customers? 18 (St. Cyr) Bedford bills quarterly, we bill 19 quarterly in arrears. So, we bill the first of 20 the quarter for the prior quarter. The most 21 recent quarter would have been a July 1 quarter 22 for the second quarter of 2023. 23 And, so, on a quarterly basis, how much is this 850 charge? 24

```
1
          (St. Cyr) Let's see.
 2
         Subject to check, would you agree with my math of
 3
         212.61?
 4
          (St. Cyr) That is correct.
 5
         Okay. Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, are temporary
 6
         rates in effect?
 7
    Α
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
 8
         So, when Bedford issues its bills, with the
 9
         temporary rates, is there going to be any
10
         reconciliation between the temporary and
11
         permanent?
12
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
1.3
         And, subject to check, do you recall that the
         effective date of temporary rates was
14
15
         November 17th, 2022?
16
         (St. Cyr) That is correct.
17
         Okay. And can you remind us, were the temporary
18
         rates in effect on a service-rendered basis?
19
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
    Α
20
         Okay. Now, if I can go back to the text of the
21
         Settlement Agreement, and I'd like to have you
2.2
         turn to Paragraph 4.3.2. So, in this paragraph,
23
         do you see the second line where it references
24
          "30 days" for Bedford to make a filing?
```

```
1
         (St. Cyr) I do see that, yes.
 2
         Okay. And, so, this is the temporary and
 3
         permanent rate reconciliation filing, is that
 4
         correct?
 5
         (St. Cyr) That is correct.
 6
         Can you please summarize what Bedford needs to do
 7
         to make this filing, and what reconciliation --
 8
         how you go about your reconciliation?
 9
         (St. Cyr) So, we would have to prorate, because
10
         the effective date is November 17th, and is
11
         effectively in the middle of a quarter, we would
12
         prorate the temporary to permanent rate
1.3
         difference from November 17th to, I presume, the
14
         date of the Commission order. And we would take
15
         into consideration it on a prorated basis.
16
         Okay. Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, with the next
17
         paragraph down, which is -- or "section", which
18
         is "4.4. Rate Case Expenses", has Bedford been
19
         filing estimated rate case expense reports?
20
         (St. Cyr) We have been filing the quarterly
21
         reports required by the Commission.
2.2
    Q
         And, once permanent rates are approved, under
         what timeframe did the parties agree that Bedford
23
24
         will file its rate case expense recovery request?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) Within 30 days.
 2
         Okay. And will Bedford also be suggesting a
 3
         proposed surcharge for that recovery?
 4
         (St. Cyr) We will.
 5
         Mr. St. Cyr, if I could have you turn the page to
 6
         Section 4.5 of the Settlement Agreement, and have
 7
         you turn to Section 4.5.1, regarding Step 1. Are
 8
         you there?
         [Witness St. Cyr indicating in the affirmative].
 9
10
         Okay. And, so, what is, if you can summarize for
11
         the record, what is Step 1 comprised of?
12
         (St. Cyr) Step 1 consists of seven pump
13
         replacements that took place in 2022.
14
         Okay. And, then, over on Page 11, Section 4.5.2,
    Q
15
         can you explain what Step 2 is to be comprised
16
         of?
17
    Α
         (St. Cyr) So, the parties have agreed that Step 2
18
         would be limited to the first three pump
19
         replacements in 2023.
20
         Okay. As part of Bedford's initial rate filing,
21
         did it request recovery under these two
22
         mechanisms, a Step 1 and Step 2?
         (St. Cyr) It did.
23
    Α
24
         Are you aware of whether the Commission noticed
```

```
1
         this docket for the issues of Step 1 and Step 2?
 2
          (St. Cyr) I am aware that that was included in
 3
         the notice.
 4
         Now, Mr. St. Cyr, can you turn to Attachment B to
 5
         the Settlement Agreement?
 6
         [Witness St. Cyr nodding in the affirmative].
 7
         Okay. And, for the record, this is Pages 32
    Q
 8
         and 33 for the record.
 9
                    With respect to Step 1, Mr. St. Cyr,
10
         how much did 2022 pumps cost?
11
          (St. Cyr) So, the 2022 costs related to the seven
12
         pump replacements was "$31,506".
13
         Okay. Can you please explain how much of a
    Q
14
         revenue increase results from these 2022 pumps?
15
    Α
          (St. Cyr) It results in a "$7,061" revenue
16
         increase.
17
    Q
         And, percentwise, what is that increase
18
         represented by?
19
          (St. Cyr) That's at 10.64 percent.
    Α
20
         Okay. And, for comparison, do you recall the
21
         temporary rate increase that was granted in this
22
         proceeding?
23
    Α
          (St. Cyr) No. Approximately 16 percent.
24
         Okay.
```

```
1
         (St. Cyr) Subject to check, approximately 16
 2
         percent.
 3
    Q
         Okay. Thank you very much.
 4
         (St. Cyr) 16.1 percent.
 5
         Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, if the Commission were
 6
         not to somehow approve the Step 1, would it put
 7
         Bedford in an immediate earnings deficiency?
 8
         (St. Cyr) Yes. So, the permanent rate is based
 9
         on the proforma rate base and the proforma level
10
         of revenue expenses. It does not include any of
11
         the 2022 additions to plant. If the Commission
12
         does not approve Step 1 along with the permanent
13
         rates, all other things being equal, we would
14
         immediately be in an earnings-deficient position.
15
         Okay. Mr. St. Cyr, with respect to the Step 2
    0
16
         and 2023 pump replacements, how much is that
17
         expected to cost?
18
         (St. Cyr) So, the approximate cost of a pump
    Α
19
         replacement is roughly $4,000. And, because
20
         we've limited that to three, included in the
21
         Step 2 is "$12,000". But I would point out that
22
         the parties have agreed that it will be the
23
         $12,000, or the actual cost incurred.
24
         Okay. And it now being 2023, the cost of these
```

```
1
         pump replacements is not in the revenue
 2
         requirement, is that correct?
 3
          (St. Cyr) That is correct.
 4
         And does the Company see the inclusion of the
 5
         Step 2 as a way to make the Company whole?
 6
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
 7
         Mr. St. Cyr, can you just touch upon please how
 8
         the Step 1 costs are known and measurable?
 9
          (St. Cyr) Well, because the pumps have been
10
         replaced and the actual costs have been incurred,
11
         we know what the actual expenditures are.
12
         Mr. St. Cyr, if I could have you direct your
1.3
         attention to Attachment E to the Settlement
14
         Agreement, on Page 176. And I'm referring you to
15
         the Company's response to DOE 2-8. Let me know
16
         when you're there?
17
          (St. Cyr) I don't have that. If you wouldn't
18
         mind just showing me that?
19
                    MS. BROWN:
                                If I can approach?
20
                    [Atty. Brown handing document to
21
                    Witness St. Cyr.]
2.2
    BY MS. BROWN:
23
         If you can just identify for the record what I
24
          just handed you?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) So, this is the Company's response to
 2
         DOE Data Request 2-8. And the request asks for
 3
         "copies of the supporting invoice", and attached
 4
         to the response are the actual invoices that were
 5
         incurred in 2022. And this is on Page 176 to
 6
         199.
 7
         And, if the Commission were looking for
    Q
 8
         documentation for Step 1, would we direct them to
 9
         this response?
10
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
11
         And have these -- the invoices attached to DOE
12
         2-8, have they been reviewed and corroborated,
13
         audited by DOE?
14
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
15
         All right. Thank you. If I can have you turn
16
         back to the substance of the Settlement
17
         Agreement. In particular, to the "Annual
18
         Reporting of Accounts Receivable", that's
19
         Section 4.7. Let me know when you are there.
20
         [Witness St. Cyr indicating in the affirmative].
21
         And, Mr. St. Cyr, can you please explain what
22
         reporting is required under this section?
23
    Α
         (St. Cyr) So, the parties have agreed that
24
         Bedford will report its year-end accounts
```

```
1
         receivable balance by "current", "1 to 30", "31
         to 60", "61 to 90", and "over 90 days".
 2
 3
    Q
         And, Mr. St. Cyr, the way that this reporting is
 4
         fashioned, is it going to be protective of any
 5
         confidential personal customer information?
 6
         (St. Cyr) This report will not have any personal
 7
         confidential information.
 8
         Okay. Thank you very much. With respect to the
 9
         next section, 4.8, "Depreciation Fund Account",
10
         let me know when you're there?
11
         (St. Cyr) I'm there.
12
         Okay. Can you please explain what this fund is?
         (St. Cyr) So, in DW 99-051, the Commission
1.3
14
         required Bedford to maintain a Depreciation Fund
15
         into which Bedford would place any excess revenue
16
         over expenditures.
17
         And what's the balance in this fund these days?
18
         (St. Cyr) The balance is zero. Technically, it's
19
         negative, since, in most years, the expenditures
20
         exceed the revenue. And the accumulation of
21
         those years would result in a negative balance.
         Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, can I just have you turn
22
    Q
23
         back to 4.6, the "Management Fees and Affiliate
24
         Agreement". Does Bedford have any employees?
```

```
1
         (St. Cyr) No.
 2
         So, does it need to rely on a management
 3
         agreement to secure services that employees would
 4
         otherwise offer?
 5
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
 6
         Thank you. Can I have you turn to Page 14,
 7
         Section 4.9, "Financings". With respect to Note
 8
         (1), can you please summarize that for the
 9
         record?
10
         (St. Cyr) Sure. As approved by Commission -- by
11
         the Commission in DW 22-054, Bedford borrowed
12
         $186,207 from its owner, Mr. LaMontagne.
13
         Proceeds from the borrowings were used to pay off
14
         the previously existing Merrimack County Savings
15
         Bank loan, as well as a short-term shareholder
16
         loan, and past-due operating expenses.
17
    Q
         Thank you for that summary. Can you summarize
18
         the last two notes?
19
         (St. Cyr) So, the two other notes are actually
    Α
20
         cited in 4.9.9 [4.9.1?], (2) and (3). The first
21
         one is "A non-interest bearing advance from the
22
         Shareholder in the amount of approximately
23
         30,000", to cover rate case expenses. And the
24
         second one is "A working capital line-of-credit
```

```
1
         from the Shareholder in an amount not to exceed
 2
         $12,000", and this relates to primarily pump
 3
         replacements. And it's at a variable interest
 4
         rate of the Wall Street Journal prime plus half a
 5
         percent.
 6
         Okay. Mr. St. Cyr, can you please comment on,
 7
         and also if you have an opinion on, the public
 8
         interest nature, and whether these terms are
         reasonable for these notes?
 9
10
         (St. Cyr) So, I do believe they're in the public
11
         interest, and the terms are certainly reasonable.
12
         With respect to the interest rate, I believe,
13
         let's see, no, I'm going to move on, sorry.
14
         You've already covered that.
15
                    Leach field assessments, Section 4.10,
16
         can you please describe what the Company is doing
17
         with respect to assessments?
18
         (St. Cyr) So, the Company last assessed the leach
    Α
19
         fields in October of 2021. And we have agreed
20
         that we would do that no later than January 1,
21
         2027.
         Can you please speak to the level of assessment,
22
    Q
23
         compared to what's been done in the past, for its
24
         assessments of the leach fields, and what the
```

```
1
         Company envisions filing by the January 2027
 2
         deadline?
 3
          (St. Cyr) So, the Company would hire somebody to
 4
         go out and test the leach fields, make a
 5
         determination as to their present condition, and
 6
         then file a report with the DOE and the PUC.
 7
    Q
         And what is their present -- the present
 8
         condition of the leach fields?
 9
          (St. Cyr) The present condition is fair.
10
         Can I have you turn to Attachment G of the
11
         Settlement Agreement?
          [Witness St. Cyr indicating in the affirmative].
12
1.3
         Now, if you recall your testimony on the Report
14
         of Proposed Rate Change, the new rate being $850,
15
         is that depicted on this Revised Page 7?
16
          (St. Cyr) It is.
17
         And Bedford only has one customer class, is that
18
         correct?
19
          (St. Cyr) Yes, residential customers.
    Α
20
         Can you please turn the page to the next proposed
21
         tariff change, and that's "Page 6a". For
2.2
         Exhibit 6, it's Page 262. Can you please explain
23
         the Company's reasoning behind adding that
24
         change?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) So, we just -- we primarily wanted to
 2
         just clarify that attorney costs were included.
 3
         At the time in which this was originally drafted,
 4
         I don't believe we were using an attorney to
 5
         pursue these matters in small claims court. And,
 6
         more recently, we have. So, we just added the
 7
         language that any attorney costs can also be
         added to a customer's account.
 8
 9
         And, Mr. St. Cyr, just to follow up. The
    Q
10
         management agreement that you just referenced,
11
         that does not offer legal services, is that
12
         correct? Is that why you have to have a separate
13
         attorney?
14
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
15
         Mr. St. Cyr, do you have a opinion on the just
16
         and reasonableness of the revenue requirement and
17
         the rates proposed here?
18
         (St. Cyr) I believe they're just and reasonable.
    Α
19
                                Thank you for the
                   MS. BROWN:
20
         opportunity to conduct direct on Mr. St. Cyr.
21
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. Let's
2.2
         go to the DOE.
23
                   MR. YOUNG: The DOE has no
24
         cross-examination for Mr. St. Cyr. And we would
```

1	jı	ust move to direct of Ms. Descoteau.
2		CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Please do.
3		ROBYN J. DESCOTEAU, SWORN
4		DIRECT EXAMINATION
5	BY MR.	YOUNG:
6	Q Go	ood morning, Ms. Descoteau. Could you please
7	st	tate your name and position at the Department
8	fo	or the record?
9	A (I	Descoteau) My name is Robyn J. Descoteau. And
L 0	I	'm a Utility Analyst in the Water and Sewer
L1	Gı	roup of the Department's Regulatory Division.
L2	Q Ar	nd for how long have you held your current
L 3	ро	osition?
L 4	А (І	Descoteau) I've been a Utility Analyst for
L 5	e <u>-</u>	leven years. Prior to that, I worked in the
L 6	Αι	adit Division for six years.
L 7	Q Ar	nd what are your primary responsibilities as an
L 8	ar	nalyst in the Water Division?
L 9	A (I	Descoteau) I'm responsible for the examination,
20	e 7	valuation, and analysis of financing and rate
21	f	ilings, including the recommendation of changes
22	ir	n revenue levels, that conform to regulatory
23	m€	ethodologies and/or proposals for economical,
2.4	a	ccounting, and operational changes affecting the

1 regulatory -- excuse me -- the regulated utility 2. revenue requirements. 3 I represent the Department in meetings 4 with Company officials, outside attorneys, and 5 accountants, relative to the rate case and 6 financing matters. 7 Thank you. And, in your capacity as an analyst, Q 8 did you examine the Company's permanent rate 9 filing in this proceeding? 10 (Descoteau) Yes, I did. 11 And would you describe your involvement in this docket in a little more detail please? 12 1.3 (Descoteau) In this docket, I reviewed the 14 original filing, including testimony. I tested 15 the mathematical integrity of the filing, and 16 tied figures to the annual reports on file at the 17 DOE. I also reviewed the Audit Report and 18 discovery responses. I participated in 19 settlement discussions, and reviewed the revenue 20 requirement schedules attached to the Settlement 21 Agreement. 2.2 Q And, as previously mentioned, did the DOE perform 23 an audit of the Company? 24 (Descoteau) Yes. The Audit Report, dated

```
1
         December 22nd, is contained in the Settlement
 2
         Agreement as "Attachment F".
 3
    Q
         Were the adjustments recommended in the Audit
         Report incorporated into the revenue requirement
 4
 5
         that is proposed in the Settlement Agreement
 6
         today?
 7
          (Descoteau) Yes. There was only one audit issue,
    Α
 8
         Issue Number 3, regarding a proposed proforma
 9
         adjustment by the Company, would impact the
10
         revenue requirement, and that is reflected in the
11
         Settlement Agreement being presented.
         Thank you. And, then, moving to the Settlement
12
1.3
         Agreement, do you have Exhibit 6 in front of you?
14
         (Descoteau) Yes, I do.
15
         And are you familiar with this exhibit?
16
          (Descoteau) Yes, I am.
17
         And Exhibit 6 is the Settlement Agreement on
18
         Permanent Rates, is that correct?
19
          (Descoteau) Yes, it is.
    Α
20
         Are you aware of any material changes or
21
         corrections that need to be made to Exhibit 6?
2.2
    Α
         (Descoteau) I am not aware of any.
23
         Do you have anything further to add regarding Mr.
24
         St. Cyr's testimony regarding permanent rates or
```

```
1
         the step adjustments proposed in the Settlement
 2
         Agreement?
         (Descoteau) I do not.
 3
 4
         Just getting into some of the specifics of the
 5
         Settlement. Turning to Bates Page 9 of
 6
         Exhibit 6, and I know that Mr. St. Cyr provided
 7
         an overview. But could you give us maybe, again,
         just a brief summary of provisions included in
 8
         Sections 4.3 and 4.4, regarding the "Temporary to
 9
10
         Permanent" and the "Rate Case Expenses", and then
11
         give us an overview of DOE's anticipated review
12
         process?
1.3
         (Descoteau) Sure. Section 4.3 discusses
14
         Bedford's agreement to file its reconciliation of
15
         temporary rates and permanent rates with the
16
         Commission within 30 days of the date of the
17
         Commission order approving Bedford's revenue
18
         requirement. The recovery will be calculated
19
         from November 17th to the effective date of the
20
         Commission's order approving permanent rates.
21
                   Section 4.4 discusses Bedford's
2.2
         recovery of its reasonable and prudently incurred
23
         rate case expenses for this proceeding. Rate
24
         case expenses may include legal and consultant
```

expenses, incremental administrative expenses, and other expenses allowed under Puc 1906.01. Similar to Bedford's rate reconciliation filing, Bedford's rate case expense recovery filing must be filed within 30 days from the date of the Commission's order approving Bedford's revenue requirement.

DOE anticipates that the Regulatory

Division will conduct a full review of the

temporary to permanent rate recoupment and rate

case expense filings. Based on its review of the

filings, the Regulatory Division anticipates it

will submit a technical report to the Commission.

- Q Thank you. And, then, turning the page to Bates
  Page 010, regarding Step 1, could you please just
  provide an update regarding the status of the
  DOE's review of this Step 1?
- A (Descoteau) The Regulatory Division has reviewed Step 1, and the Division of Enforcement's Audit team has conducted its audit. Nothing of materiality was noted. The Audit Report has been filed with the Commission.
- Q And, then, regarding Bates Page 011, specifically Step 2, could you please describe what you

```
1
         believe the DOE's review will entail?
 2
         (Descoteau) I anticipate that the Division of
 3
         Enforcement's Audit team will examine the filing,
 4
         and submit a report of its findings.
 5
         Concurrently, the Regulatory Division will review
 6
         the filing and audit report, posing data requests
 7
         to the Company as necessary. Depending on the
 8
         results of its review of the filing, the
 9
         Regulatory Division might find it necessary to
10
         submit a technical report or a settlement
11
         agreement.
         And, referring to Bates Page 012, just to clarify
12
1.3
         for the record, did you review the affiliate
14
         agreement of LaMontagne Management Corporation on
         file with the Commission?
15
16
         (Descoteau) Yes, I did.
17
         And do the rates contained in that affiliate
18
         agreement correspond with the proposed revenue
19
         requirement included in Exhibit 6?
20
         (Descoteau) Yes, they do.
21
         Moving through the Settlement, to Bates Page 013,
         regarding the "Annual Reporting of Accounts
2.2
23
         Receivable" that was previously discussed in
24
         Section 4.7. Do you believe that the summary
```

```
1
         reporting of the Company's aged accounts
 2.
         receivable balances that is proposed is adequate
 3
         to comply with the Commission's previously
 4
         ordered -- previously ordered order in Order
 5
         Number 24,479, in Docket DW 04-144?
 6
         (Descoteau) Yes. A summary report of the
 7
         Company's aged accounts receivable balances will
 8
         provide sufficient information for the DOE to
 9
         track the progression of past-due balances.
10
         And regarding the Depreciation Fund next, Section
11
         4.8 of the Settlement, on Bates Page 013,
12
         starting on Bates Page 013, does the DOE agree
1.3
         with the proposals contained in this section of
14
         the Settlement Agreement?
15
    Α
         (Descoteau) Yes. The DOE agrees that, for the
16
         purposes of 2023, and until the conclusion of the
17
         next general rate case proceeding, Bedford should
18
         continue to file an annual calculation of
19
         deposits to the Depreciation Fund.
20
         Moving to the two first financings on Bates
21
         Page 014 now, Section 4.9. Are the two
2.2
         financings presented in this Settlement Agreement
23
         consistent with what DOE agreed to in the
24
         Settlement Agreement previously filed in PUC
```

```
1
         Docket DW 22-054?
 2
         (Descoteau) Yes. The two financings presented in
 3
         this Settlement Agreement are consistent with the
 4
         filings approved in DW 22-054, Order Number
 5
         26,800, dated April 12th, 2023.
 6
         And, with regard to the "Miscellaneous Tariff
 7
         Revision" presented in Section 4.11, on Bates
 8
         Page 015, do you believe that this proposed
 9
         tariff change is just and reasonable?
10
         (Descoteau) Yes. It is just and reasonable to
11
         revise Page 6 to include the actual attorney fees
12
         incurred by Bedford in the amount of collection
13
         costs to be reimbursed by customers.
14
         Then, wrapping up, based on your review of the
    Q
15
         Company's permanent rate filing and the
16
         Settlement presented today, marked as
17
         "Exhibit 6", do you believe that the proposed
18
         permanent rates are just and reasonable?
19
         (Descoteau) Yes, I do.
    Α
20
         Do you believe that the permanent rates and the
21
         proposed step adjustments, along with the other
         proposals contained in the Settlement Agreement,
2.2
23
         are in the public interest?
24
          (Descoteau) Yes, I do.
```

```
1
                    MR. YOUNG:
                                Thank you. That is all I
 2.
         have for direct.
 3
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
 4
                    Before we go to the Commissioners'
 5
         questions, does the Company have any
 6
         cross-examination, even if it's in the nature of
 7
         friendly cross?
                   MS. BROWN: No, no friendly direct,
 8
         friendly cross, otherwise. Thank you very much
 9
10
         for the opportunity.
11
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
                                                       So,
12
         let's go to Commissioner Simpson.
1.3
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Thank you.
    BY CMSR. SIMPSON:
14
15
         So, first, I'd like to turn to Exhibit 6,
16
         Attachment C, marked as "Bates Page 033".
17
         I'll give Mr. St. Cyr a moment to turn to that
18
         page.
19
         (St. Cyr) I'm there.
    Α
20
         Can you explain to us why the line that describes
21
         "Accumulated Depreciation" and the line that
2.2
         describes "Annual Depreciation" include the same
23
         figure? Are those referencing the same type of
24
         depreciation?
```

```
1
          (St. Cyr) So, yes.
                              It's two sides of the same
 2
         entry. The 1,700 is the depreciation expense,
 3
         and that's credited to accumulated depreciation.
 4
         Okay. And are you familiar with the long-term
 5
         debt approved under Docket DW 22-054?
 6
         (St. Cyr) Yes, I am.
 7
         Was the short-term debt of $18,000 used to pay
 8
         off that -- or, I should say, what was approved
 9
         in 22-054, was that used to pay off the $18,000
10
         debt?
11
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
12
         Okay. And, here, the Settling Parties agree and
1.3
         recommend that the Commission approve both the
14
         proposed permanent rates and Step 1, so that the
15
         rates pertaining to both will go into effect
16
         concurrently, correct?
17
    Α
         (St. Cyr) Correct.
18
         The proposed tariff change appears only to
    Q
19
         reflect the permanent change that's been
20
         proposed. Can you explain the plan for the
21
         Company to inform customers of the increase in
22
         rates from the Step 1 and permanent rate change?
23
         (St. Cyr) So, there would be a letter included in
24
         the October 1 billing, which would describe what
```

```
1
         the rate increase is related to.
 2
         And tariff adjustments as well?
 3
         (St. Cyr) Correct.
 4
         Okay. And the Settlement Agreement, at Page 12,
 5
         let's turn there. Let me know when you're there?
 6
         (St. Cyr) I'm there.
 7
         So, this states that "Step 2 would not become
 8
         effective any earlier than Bedford's April 1st,
 9
         2024, First Quarter billings." Correct?
10
         (St. Cyr) Correct.
11
         Can you elaborate on the Company's plan for
         subsequent tariff changes, and how it envisions
12
13
         informing customers of Step 2 changes?
14
         (St. Cyr) So, similar to what we did for
15
         temporary rates, and what we're planning to do
16
         for permanent and Step 1, we would provide a
17
         similar letter, along with the quarterly billing,
18
         that indicates what that rate change is.
19
         Okay. Thank you. And, earlier today, you noted
    Q
20
         that there may be opportunities for improving
21
         communication processes pertaining to Bedford's
22
         website. Did I interpret that correctly?
23
         (St. Cyr) You did.
    Α
24
         Would the Company endeavor to implement
```

```
1
         improvements to help customers be informed of
 2
         changes?
 3
    Α
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
 4
         In a ready manner?
 5
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
 6
                    CMSR. SIMPSON: Okay. Thank you.
 7
         That's all I have, Commissioner Chattopadhyay.
 8
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you.
    BY CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY:
9
10
         So, I want to go to the discussion about the
11
         "7.18 percent", as opposed to "6.8 percent". And
12
         you mentioned it's 7.18 percent, because you're
13
         adding the cost of finance. Can you describe
14
         that a little bit more? What is the cost of
15
         finance, and what dollar amounts are we talking
16
         about?
17
    Α
         (St. Cyr) So, I'm specifically looking at
18
         Attachment A, Schedule 4, on Page 29.
19
         And bear with me, I'm going to go there. Okay.
    Q
20
         I'm there.
21
         (St. Cyr) And, if you drop down to the second to
    Α
22
         last box on the page, "Cost of Debt", the
23
         proforma debt is the "186,207", that's the loan
24
         that the Commission approved. Then, the next
```

```
1
         number, the "12,434", is the first year interest
 2
         rate on the loan, the "932" is the financing
 3
         cost. The sum of the two is the "13,366". And,
 4
         when you take that amount over the proforma debt,
 5
         it results in "7.18 percent".
 6
         Is the cost of finance one time or that will
    Q
 7
         incurred every year?
 8
         (St. Cyr) So, this is the amortization of the
 9
         financing cost over the life of the loan.
10
         it's amortized over 15 years.
11
         Thank you. Can you opine on, like, what is the
    Q
12
         life of a pump typically?
13
         (St. Cyr) So, it, at different points, I think,
14
         initially, we depreciated it over five years, and
15
         found that that was too short. And, at one point
16
         in time, we also depreciated them over ten years,
17
         and we found that, in most cases, was too long.
18
         And, more recently, I shouldn't -- probably not
19
         "recently", probably for ten plus years we've
20
         been depreciating them over seven years. And
21
         that seems to be about the right number.
22
         Have you ever retired a pump before it conks out?
23
    Α
         (St. Cyr) Yes. I'm sorry, if I understand your
24
         question, if it's continuing to operate after the
```

```
1
         seven years, it would remain in service, but it
 2
         would be fully depreciated. We would leave it in
 3
         until it fails, --
 4
         Okay.
 5
         (St. Cyr) -- and then we'd replace it.
 6
         So, every time you have replaced pumps, it's been
 7
         because the pump before failed?
 8
         (St. Cyr) That's correct.
 9
         Okay. If you go to Bates Page 262 of Exhibit 6,
    Q
10
         and let me know if you're there?
11
         (St. Cyr) I'm there.
12
         Okay. As I'm reading it, the -- so, it's (f)
1.3
         where you talk about "attorney fees", I'm just
14
         going to read the relevant part: "The Company
15
         will issue a letter indicating that an additional
16
         fee of $200 and/or attorney fees have been added
17
         to the account in order for the Company to work
18
         with an attorney to resolve the unpaid balance."
19
         With the "$200", are you really talking about
20
         that's the minimum that you will charge?
21
         (St. Cyr) Yes.
    Α
2.2
    Q
         Is it possible that the attorney fees may be less
23
         than $200, and there's nothing -- and it's not
24
         really $200?
```

```
1
         (St. Cyr) No. There's no way it would be less.
 2
         I ask, because I'm not a lawyer, I don't know how
 3
         much they make. So, --
         (St. Cyr) They make a lot.
 4
 5
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: And I know that,
 6
         okay. So, yes. That read a little bit -- it
 7
         could have been clearer, okay.
                   Okay. I think that is it for me.
 8
                                                       So,
 9
         we will go to redirect. Let's begin with
10
         Attorney Brown.
11
                   MS. BROWN: I'm getting a signal from
12
         my witness that he has no clarifications to add,
1.3
         am I correct?
                   WITNESS ST. CYR: That's correct.
14
15
                   MS. BROWN: Because I had no redirect.
16
         Thank you.
17
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: You're able to
18
         read signals well.
19
                   MR. YOUNG: Nothing from the
20
         Department.
21
                   CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay.
22
                   So, what I'm going to do is I'm going
23
         to strike ID on Exhibits 5 and 6, and admit them
24
         as full exhibits.
```

2.

1.3

2.2

I will go to closing arguments. And I would begin with the DOE, and then I will allow Mr. Rizzo to add anything he wants to, and then I will go to the Company.

So, let's start with DOE.

MR. YOUNG: Thank you, Commissioners.

While the rates included in this

Settlement Agreement represent an increase, the

Department supports setting permanent rates at

the rates proposed in this Agreement. The

Department believes that the Company's permanent

rate filing meets the applicable statutory

requirements, and that appropriate customer rates

will result when these permanent rates are

approved.

As the panel of witnesses have testified, the Department of Energy fully supports all aspects of the Settlement Agreement, including the permanent rate revenue requirement, the Limited Step 1 Adjustment and Step 2 Adjustment, subject to DOE and Commission approval.

As mentioned today, the Department also anticipates review of rate case expenses.

```
1
                    The DOE contends that this Settlement
 2
         Agreement is just and reasonable, and in the
 3
         public interest, as it is the disposition of all
 4
         the issues in this rate proceeding, and provides
 5
         the Company with a clear path forward for step
 6
         adjustments and temporary to permanent recoupment
 7
         rate.
                    That is all.
 8
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Thank you.
 9
10
         Let's go to public comments from Mr. Rizzo.
11
                    MR. RIZZO: Am I allowed to question
         the witnesses?
12
1.3
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No.
14
                    MR. RIZZO: On their testimony?
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: No.
15
16
                    MR. RIZZO: So, I'm just going to give
17
         a general statement?
18
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes.
19
                    MR. RIZZO: Okay.
20
                    [Court reporter interruption regarding
21
                    the use of the microphone.]
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes. You can get
2.2
23
         closer, yes.
24
                    MR. RIZZO: Okay. Thank you.
```

1.3

2.2

Concerning the testimony I heard,
because this is a public comment, so this is
going to be general. There's going to be a
number of topics. Of course, am I able to talk
about anything else?

CMSR. SIMPSON: You can share what you'd like to with us. We're here listening to what you --

MR. RIZZO: Concerning the testimony that I heard, okay, none of this is in the best interest of the ratepayer. I understand the statutory requirements and so forth. This financial statement does not meet general accounting principles, that I can tell you, especially not from a financing need. I'd love to have Mr. Roberts up there and ask him a few questions.

Anyway, concerning Mr. St. Cyr's testimony, bad debt allowance, you talk about "mismanagement". How do you incur a debt of \$17,000, without throwing a mechanic's lien, or doing something up front to allow this to happen? I don't know what the sixteen seven [sic] is for. I mean, I can't ask them that. Well, what's the

2.

1.3

2.2

bad debt? It's a 30-year revenue. This gentleman is a tax expert and a CPA, and so is Mr. Roberts, he's with LMC. What's the relationship, you know, between them?

So, you know, they're talking in terms of -- Mr. St. Cyr has already said that he's got -- he had to take another 12,000 from the Shareholder, because he can't pay his bills.

Yet, Ms. DeCostineau [sic] is claiming that "Oh, everything is good. You know, it meets the statutory requirements." From a cash flow perspective, it does not.

The expense recovery, again, they have to loan money to pay for the expenses. They don't have them. And there's not enough income to support the expenses. And there's no reserve fund. There's nothing whatsoever.

And concerning Chairman Chattopadhyay's question about the pumps, you know, there's a warranty on the pumps, you know? You can buy pumps that have a longer term. And you talk about communication. When we had the meeting on the 17th of November, that Mr. St. Cyr mentioned, one of them, one of the homeowners there

2.

1.3

2.2

ironically works for a pump company, and said "I can save you money." Has Mr. St. Cyr ever reached out to him, or asked him? There's a lot of talent in those 78 ratepayers there. That's how we ended up getting the remediation done and everything, because there's people that are responsive, that know that stuff.

Yet, we don't get any information back.

Then, they just continue with these kind of hearings, which, really, you're in the hole already when you walk out of here.

My other questions are this. The relationship with Bedford Waste and LMC, LMC owns Bedford Waste, correct? Does LMC take tax losses based on the losses from Bedford Waste on their other operations? I'm sure they do. Who benefits from that? Mr. LaMontagne.

This Company is underfunded from the beginning. There was never enough money put in. I have no idea, from an accounting perspective, how you get a plant in service of \$634,000, when all of those septic systems were paid for when the people bought their houses. If this was a stand-alone house, and you bought -- and you had

2.

1.3

2.2

a price, your septic would be included. It wouldn't be "Oh, well, the house is 250, but you've got to give me another 35 for the septic." It's included.

When I got my house, the only thing I said is there's a -- "there's a homeowner's association charge and a septic thing." And I said "Fine." And my question, and this is my biggest question that I can't get an answer to, that I've been asking since I've been here, when the PUC allowed Mr. LaMontagne to add these additional houses, okay, by doing this common septic, this is what it's all about, it's about money. It's not about ratepayers, and helping them; it's about money. How many more houses was Mr. LaMontagne able to build when they allowed him to put these common septic tanks in? Does anybody know that question?

Maybe Mr. Roberts doesn't know that, because he may not have been with the Company. I really don't know anything about him. First time I've heard he's here.

My guess is a minimum of 10 to 15. So, he put an additional two to three million dollars

1.3

2.2

in his pocket. Underfunds this Bedford Waste.

There's no record of any money going in through him, other than this loan that he's making us pay.

So, now, if you look at this statement, and you take out the bad debt of sixteen five, which you don't know if you're going to recover, but it should never have got to that point. As soon as somebody doesn't pay, you -- I don't know if he's -- Ms. Brown could probably tell you better, but I think it's a service, you might be able to get a mechanic's lien, you can get that pretty easy. And, then, an attorney, for 500 bucks or so will go after somebody and make sure they pay. That's management. That's nothing to do with the ratepayer. Again, another example of mismanagement.

So, between the bad debt and the interest expense, gee, isn't it ironic that it equals the loss? And you've got to remember, depreciation, that's a phantom. That's cash that flows down in the bottom line. That's already taken off. So, he's getting a depreciation deduction for something that he got paid for

already. This depreciation — this plant should be worth zero. It was paid for when they put them in by the people that bought their houses. He has to give a septic system. So, let's say there was eight or nine grand a house for the septic system back then, in '94/95, times 80, that's 480 grand. It didn't cost him 480 grand to put those five fields in, no way. And it was cheaper to put those fields in than put individual septic in each of those houses, which maybe he would have been able to do 55 or 60, instead of 78.

So, all along the line here, whatever meets statutory regulations doesn't meet with accounting principles, and the bottom line is cash. Why does the owner of this, LMC, have to continually infuse cash? You're tying Mr. St. Cyr's hands. This poor guy is taking all the -- he's taking the beating, and he has no recourse.

So, my next question is, what happens when they run out of cash? They can't go to a bank. No one's going to -- it's worth nothing. Why would somebody buy this? They have offered that we should buy this, the ratepayers; we have

1.3

no interest in buying this. You set it up. It's your deal. You went to do this, you made your money.

Now, Mr. LaMontagne needs to fund this properly. And this Commission continues to kick the can down the road, from eight years ago, in this docket, 25,563, back to 2000, Order Number 23,388. How many times did you warn Mr. LaMontagne that he's in dire straits here? And it's back here twenty years ago. We're kicking the can down the road.

I mean, this is just like, you know, it's like a session of Congress here, it's the same thing. When are we going to hold the owner's feet to the fire? The ratepayers, you know, I'm not -- I'm not complaining about the increase. It's been six or seven years, he should get money. But you should see something positive, we're in worse shape than we were before. No communication, nothing being done, no proper tools.

So, you want solutions? I have a solution, all right, a couple of solutions.

First of all, every single house should have a

2.

1.3

2.2

schedule for when their pump tanks are going to be pumped, when the last time it was that their pump had to be replaced. Mine just went this February, 26 years it lasted, okay? The gentleman that could supply these pumps say that usually the guarantees he has are ten or more years. You may spend a few more dollars, but it's guaranteed. The labor you have to pay, but the pump is guaranteed. Nothing, nothing from the standpoint of that.

The other issue, why do we need to pump every two years? You don't need to pump every two years. You have a 1,250 or a 1,500 gallon tank. My other house that I had in Bedford, I pumped it every four to five years, and there was four of us living there. You don't need to pump these tanks every two years.

Now, from a cash flow standpoint, that helps, that gives them relief. You're not offering any relief. And that's something that Bedford Waste can do on their own. They don't need your permission to do that. You don't have people's septics overflowing and stuff, other than they had an issue. And, if it's an issue

1 where the ratepayer created it, then there should 2. be a stipulation that maybe they got to kick in 3 for some of that expense. Did they put something 4 down there that shouldn't be there? Nothing, 5 there's nothing like that at all. 6 So, and the capital infusion, that's 7 got to happen. Unless more money goes into this 8 company, you're going to be back here in another 9 year or so. And I know at this point I don't have a 10 11 big position, and I can't do much. I'm letting 12 you know how I feel. But I will quarantee you 1.3 that I will be back, with my intervenor thing, 14 and I'll have the supporting documents and other 15 people here. And we expect that this panel would 16 do what they need to. They have to make this 17 owner accountable, and you haven't done it yet. 18 Thank you. 19 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. Let's 20 go to the Company. 21 MS. BROWN: Thank you very much for 2.2 your time today for today's hearing. 23 I would like to at least respond to a

couple of points that Mr. Rizzo has raised, just

24

2.

1.3

2.2

to clarify. A number of the points are not germane to the noticed issues in this docket.

But some of them pertain to the bad debt, which you've heard testimony from Mr. St. Cyr have been backed out of the revenue requirement.

And I would just like to remind the Commission that, per the Commission's rules, sewer utilities cannot disconnect customers for failure to pay. And, so, that inability to mitigate damages has been taken away from them. The only recourse is to pursue the individual in court, which the Company is doing, and hopes to recover. But, bottom line, the Company -- sewer companies, in particular, are handcuffed as to escalation of that unpaid bill. But, again, it's not in the revenue requirement.

With respect to plant, and contributed capital, that is clearly denoted in the plant schedules for the rate base portion of the revenue requirement. So, it does show contributed capital correctly. The bulk of the revenue requirement is operations, expenses associated with operating it.

The Settlement Agreement also has a

2.

1.3

2.2

deadline for January of 2027 for the Company to report back on the condition of the leach fields. They have the leach fields periodically assessed. They're still in fair condition, they're still in usable condition. And like Mr. St. Cyr's testimony about the pumps, even though they're fully depreciated, they're still going to be used in service to the customers, until they are ready to be replaced.

The assessment report that's due in

January 2027 will help with the timeline of when
that replacement happens. That's going to be a
big ticket item. But the Company is preparing,
by assembling data, on what -- how much life is
left in those leach fields, and then can
determine what the cost and the timing of the
costs, and then can time it's -- if it's rate
cases, steps, it can at least mitigate rate shock
by planning rate increases around that data that
it gets from the leach fields.

So, those are the only two items that I wanted to address that were -- of the comments that Mr. Rizzo made that were related to the issues that are noticed for this case.

1.3

And I won't summarize the Settlement, because it's been well summarized by the witnesses. But I'll just -- but, you know, background, the Commission received the tariffs that were filed back in October 17th, 2022, for effect November 17, 2022. The Commission, on November 1, suspended those tariffs, and commenced the investigation, and noticed this docket that we are now participating in.

As the Commission is aware, there's a longstanding precedent of the Commission favoring settlements, if possible, rather than having parties litigate. And, truly, while a settlement is being presented here today, it is a compromise of the issues. As you heard, there were numerous adjustments that Mr. St. Cyr summarized. That vetting of this Settlement has produced a better result of the issues.

So, thank you very much for the adjudicative process. And Bedford appreciates

DOE's full vetting and discovery on these issues.

We have a temporary rate in effect, that's 16.19 percent. This Settlement is pretty close to what was originally asked for. The

2.

1.3

2.2

original rate filing was 39.47 percent. We're arriving at the Settlement at 36.49 percent.

Under RSA 378:29, Bedford will reconcile the difference between temporary and permanent rates. And, as the Commission is aware, this reconciliation protects customers from any under- and over-collection. And the Company, 30 days after the approval of permanent rates, will file its reconciliation for Commission and DOE review, and will propose a surcharge for its customers. The surcharge will be relatively easy, because we only have one customer rate class for this, for Bedford Waste.

With respect to the Settlement, the

terms are a product of the give-and-take of the

parties. Bedford respectfully requests the

Commission approve all the terms of the

Settlement Agreement. The evidence introduced

today establishes that the plant portion of the

revenue requirement meets the "prudent, used and

useful" test. The expenses are known and

measurable, and have been audited. The resulting

rates support Bedford's operations, and that

Bedford deems those customer rates to be just and

```
1
         reasonable.
 2
                    Thank you for the opportunity to
 3
         respond.
 4
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. Thank
 5
         you.
 6
                   Before I wrap up, I do have a couple of
 7
         questions, just based on what I've heard. When
         was the last time the leach field study was done,
 8
         before 2021?
 9
10
                    WITNESS ST. CYR: 2019.
11
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: '19.
12
                    WITNESS ST. CYR: I'm sorry, 2013.
1.3
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: So, typically,
14
         you do it every six, seven years?
15
                    WITNESS ST. CYR: The general plan is
16
         every five years.
17
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Every five years,
18
         okay.
19
                   And, Mr. St. Cyr, you are the manager
20
         of this Company. Can you tell me, since when
21
         have you been the manager for this Company?
2.2
                    WITNESS ST. CYR: Since the '90s. I've
23
         been at it a long time.
24
                    CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Okay. Thank you.
```

So, I will excuse the witnesses. You are released.

1.3

2.1

2.2

Is there anything else?

[Mr. Rizzo indicating.]

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes. Please keep it short. Yes.

MR. RIZZO: It will be short.

CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Yes. Go, sir.

MR. RIZZO: Concerning Ms. Brown's last statement about a "rate surcharge", it's not going to happen. Okay? The people at Bedford Three Corners are under this impression, the money that is paid every quarter to Bedford Waste will take care of their septic needs, as well as replacement of fields. We do not expect to be surcharged for monies that should have been sequestered and put aside in reserve. And it was never done. So, you have an underfunded situation.

And what happens if they run out of money? And what if the ratepayers say "You know what? We're not paying." She just said it, you can't cut us off. Then, you're going to have a major lawsuit.

1 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: I'm going to give 2. you an opportunity to respond, because you are 3 the one with the last closing. 4 MS. BROWN: I appreciate that, because 5 we do have the burden of proof here. 6 With respect to the reconciliation, 7 that is only the difference between the temporary 8 rates, which were approved by this Commission, 9 and the permanent rates, which we hope the 10 Commission will approve. And it is -- and that 11 surcharge is only for that difference of 12 temporary rates and permanent rates. It is not 1.3 for future leach field construction, because that 14 data hasn't been received by the Company and 15 filed with the Commission yet. 16 Mr. St. Cyr is looking at me, because I 17 think --18 MR. ST. CYR: I was just going to say, 19 the surcharge also includes rate case expenses as 20 well. 2.1 MS. BROWN: Correct. Thank you. CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: You know, because 2.2 23 I dismissed them, so, I want you to respond. 24 That's all.

1 MS. BROWN: Right. I was just 2 responding about the surcharge that I thought Mr. 3 Rizzo was talking about, being the 4 temporary/permanent. There is another rate case 5 expense surcharge, but, again, that's for rate 6 case expenses. 7 I just wanted to clarify the surcharge, 8 that I think there was some misunderstanding by 9 Mr. Rizzo of what that surcharge comprised of. 10 CMSR. CHATTOPADHYAY: Thank you. 11 So, I thank everyone for their 12 participation. And we will take this matter 1.3 under advisement, and issue an order as soon as 14 possible. We are adjourned. 15 (Whereupon the hearing was adjourned at 10:49 a.m.) 16 17 18 19 20 21 2.2 23 24